

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,017 | 12/04/2001 | John Granville Burns | 717901.19 | 7708 | |
| 27128 | 27128 7590 02/03/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | LL SANDERS PEPER N | GELLNER, JEFFREY L | | | |
| 720 OLIVE STREET SUITE 2400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63101 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3643 | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/006,017 | BURNS, JOHN GRANVILLE | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jeffrey L. Gellner | 3643 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | ·— | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 1,7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | ∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loads (US 4,099,345) in view of Besing (US 5,274,951).

As to claims 1 7, 9, and 10, Loads discloses a sports playing surface (from "production of turf" of col. 1 line 4 in that turf is a sports playing surface) mat "thin turf sheet' of col. 2 lines 56-61) and method making comprising a support matrix of paper ("pulverized paper" of col. 2 lines 39) and filler material of sand (col. 2 lines 36-40) that are admixed uniformly (from "mixture" of col. 2 lines 36-40) with grass seed (from col. 2 lines 18-20); the medium mixed (from col. 2 lines 18-65). Not disclosed is the paper being maché. However, Besing, discloses use of paper with a binder (from col. 3 lines 5-11) that is paper maché. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the surface of Loads by adding a binder as disclosed by Besing so as to strengthen the cohesion of the mat.

As to claim 11, Loads as modified by Besing further disclose soil (peat and/or lignite from col. 2 lines 33-50).

As to claim 12, Loads as modified by Besing further disclose drying (in that the mats will dry as the grass grows).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,017

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

Page 3

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 9-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Andreasen et al. discloses a paper mat with soil.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 571.272.6887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571.272.6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J/ 2/1

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3643